Case 1:07-cv-04253-AKH Document	1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
Miguel Muniz	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	WINDTER COM EMINT
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellers 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for	stein, United States District Judge, dated June 22 all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE (OF ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master C instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addit Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, be	with an 'V' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s)
Plaintiffs, MIGUEL MUNIZ, by his/her/their a NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), r	•
I. PAR	<u>eties</u>
A. PLAIN	TIFF(S)
citizen of New York residing at 29 Caroline Street, Sta (OR	
, and brings this claim in his (her) c	

Ca	se 1:07-cv-04253-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 2 of 11				
citizen of	residing at	(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the				
Injured Plaint	☐ SPOUSE at all relevant times	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the				
	· ·	and (his wife), Plaintiff Other:				
4. Department of	In the period from 9/12/2001 to 12/31 of Transportation as a Highway Repairer	/2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for NYC at:				
I	Please be as specific as possible when fi	illing in the following dates and locations				
	I Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	□ The Barge				
From on or al Approximate Approximate	bout _9/12/2001_ until _9/25/2001_; ly _12_ hours per day; for ly _10_ days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total				
☐ The New From on or al Approximate	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout until, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injure plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:				
From on or al Approximate Approximate	Kills Landfill bout; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	From on or about 9/25/2001 until _12/31/2001_; Approximately _6_ hours per day; for Approximately _70_ days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:				
		paper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.				
5.	Injured Plaintiff					
	Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated				
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ringested toxic substances and particulates on all				
	Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at				
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.					

6.

Injure	ed Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 2/27/06 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on (OR)	INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	INC.
determination	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
☐ is pending	CORP
	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on ☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
Denying pention was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP ☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
York on	
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC. ☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANT INC. ☐ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FORT EXCAVATING CORF
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

INC.

☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP ☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

OTHER:									

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	Business/Service Address:
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	<u> </u>
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Filed 03/20/2007 Page 7 of 11 Case 1:07-cv-04253-AKH Document 1 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil		urisdi ut the	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
remov	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	U.S.C	2. § 1441.
of lial law:	Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above bility, and asserts each element necessary to est	name	d defendants based upon the following theories
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	▼	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
▼	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
			Other:

Case 1:07-cv-04253-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Cardiovascular Injury: Cardiac Problems; Chest Pain Date of onset: 7/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Respiratory Injury: Asthma; Chronic Cough; Cough; Respiratory Problems; Shortness of Breath; Sinus and/or Nasal Problems; and Sinus Problems Date of onset: 7/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 7/6/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Digestive Injury: GERD; Heartburn/acid reflux/GERD; Stomach Problems, Including, but not limited to, Nausea and/or Acid Reflux Date of onset: 7/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		Other Injury: Chronic Headaches; Fatigue; and Headaches, Dizziness, Migraines Date of onset: 7/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

	- O	
 V	Pain and suffering	✓ Mental anguish
√	Loss of the enjoyment of life	✓ Disability✓ Medical monitoring✓ Other: Not yet determined.
√	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	- Other. 140t yet determined.
V	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits	
√	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation	
✓	Other:	

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York March 5, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Miguel Muniz

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

March 5, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No:	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	MIGUEL MUNIZ,
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
======	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.
	Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
□ <u>N</u>	ASE TAKE NOTICE: OTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20 OTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 atM. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP